We want to know your opinion...
Important that we receive it back!

✓ Please keep it with you during breaks
✓ Please give it back when leaving the conference!
✓ We have registered the number of each unit on the registration list
How does it work?

✓ Read question and corresponding answers.
✓ Choose the most suitable answer.
✓ Each answer is identified by a number.
✓ Simply press the corresponding number in your voting unit.
Rules of the game

- Voting is anonymous.
- Give your own opinion.
- Only one reply per question.

Now let's vote!!
Which day of the week was it yesterday?

1. Monday
   - 1%
2. Tuesday
   - 0%
3. Wednesday
   - 0%
4. Thursday
   - 85%
5. Friday
   - 14%
6. Saturday
   - 0%
7. Sunday
   - 0%
What is your profession?

1. I work as a designer (architect, ...)
   - 4.1%
2. I work as consultant
   - 14.4%
3. I am a contractor
   - 1.0%
4. I work for a governmental organisation
   - 15.5%
5. I am a representative of an association of stakeholders (industry, consumers, ...)
   - 33.0%
6. I am an academic (professor, researcher, phd student, ...)
   - 21.6%
7. Other
   - 10.3%
Where are you from?

- Northern Europe
- Western Europe
- Eastern Europe
- Southern Europe
- Eurovoc
Where are you from?

1. Belgium - 43.9%
2. Western EU, except Belgium - 26.5%
3. Southern EU - 18.4%
4. Eastern EU - 4.1%
5. Northern EU - 6.1%
6. Non-EU - 1.0%

Vote now
How would you rate your knowledge in the field of energy performance regulations?

1. I consider myself an expert 28.3%
2. I am very familiar with energy regulations, but I do not consider myself an expert 40.4%
3. I have specific limited knowledge in the field of energy performance regulations 25.3%
4. I am not at all familiar with energy performance regulations 6.1%
Voting session 1
Checking compliance of buildings to EPBD requirements

Does your country has a view on compliance rates of new buildings with EP requirements?

- Yes, 52%
- No, 26%
- Not yet, it is planned/taking place, 22%

Concerted action, W. Roelens
What is your opinion when you see that only half of the member states has a view on compliance rates?

1. This is unacceptable in the light of the requirements of EPBD recast (Directive 2010/31/EU). 67.7%

2. This is normal since it is up to the member states to decide whether or not to collect this information. 25.0%

3. It is not necessary for member states to check compliance; it is the responsibility of the design and construction team to make sure requirements are met. 7.3%
1. The competent authorities or bodies to which the competent authorities have delegated the responsibility for implementing the independent control system shall make a random selection of at least a statistically significant percentage of all the energy performance certificates issued annually and subject those certificates to verification.

The verification shall be based on the options indicated below or on equivalent measures:

(a) validity check of the input data of the building used to issue the energy performance certificate and the results stated in the certificate;

(b) check of the input data and verification of the results of the energy performance certificate, including the recommendations made;

(c) full check of the input data of the building used to issue the energy performance certificate, full verification of the results stated in the certificate, including the recommendations made, and on-site visit of the building, if possible, to check correspondence between specifications given in the energy performance certificate and the building certified.
Compliance checks may be organized at different stages of the design and construction process.

Concerted action, W. Roelens
When should compliance be checked in your opinion to make sure a building meets energy performance requirements?

1. When issuing the building permit only (design data) - 3.2%
2. After construction only (as-built data) - 7.4%
3. When the building is in use only (real consumption data) - 6.3%
4. When issuing the building permit AND after construction - 45.3%
5. When issuing the building permit AND when the building is in use - 35.8%
6. Other - 2.1%
3 steps for effective compliance framework

1. Technical procedures to obtain and prove quality of the works
   - There should be clear technical procedures what must be done

2. Robust legal procedures in case of non-compliance
   - There should be clear legal procedures how to decide on non-compliance and related actions

3. Handling of non-compliance in practice
   - There should be an effective control and sanctions if non-compliance

R. Carrié
What is your opinion about the 3-step compliance framework?

1. It clearly identifies the key steps in the process: 52%
2. It is not so clear: 18%
3. I would prefer another approach: 14%
4. No opinion: 16%
What is your opinion about the 3-step compliance framework?

1. It clearly identifies the different key steps in the process
   - I consider myself an expert: 57.5%
   - I am very familiar with energy regulations, but I do not consider myself an expert: 40.0%
   - I have specific limited knowledge in the field of energy performance regulations: 16.7%

2. It is not so clear
   - I consider myself an expert: 17.9%
   - I am very familiar with energy regulations, but I do not consider myself an expert: 12.5%
   - I have specific limited knowledge in the field of energy performance regulations: 28.0%
   - I am not at all familiar with energy performance regulations: 0.0%

3. I would prefer another approach
   - I consider myself an expert: 33.3%
   - I am very familiar with energy regulations, but I do not consider myself an expert: 28.0%
   - I have specific limited knowledge in the field of energy performance regulations: 14.3%
   - I am not at all familiar with energy performance regulations: 12.5%

4. No opinion
   - I consider myself an expert: 50.0%
   - I am very familiar with energy regulations, but I do not consider myself an expert: 20.0%
   - I have specific limited knowledge in the field of energy performance regulations: 12.5%
   - I am not at all familiar with energy performance regulations: 7.1%
THANK YOU
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